







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/736,661	12/14/2000	Arturo A. Rodriguez	A-6280	8279	
7590 07/19/2004			EXAMINER		
Scientific-Atlanta Inc			AN, SHAWN S		
Intellectual Property Dept MS 4.3.518 5030 Sugarloaf Parkway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Lawrenceville,			2613	09	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004	22	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ffice Action Summary		Part of Paper No./Mail Date 22
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office		Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal Other:	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * * See the attached detailed Office action fo	numents have been rec numents have been rec ne priority documents Bureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applica have been receiv .2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be he correction is required if	ld in abeyance. Se the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	ı and/or election requi	rement.	
 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>37-39 and 50-52</u> is/are rejected 		eration.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-39 and 50-52</u> is/are pending	g in the application.		
Disposition of Claims			
closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for a	==		rosecution as to the merits is
.— .	∏ This action is non-fi	inal.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 06 May 2004		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho ation. ys, a reply within the statutory ry period will apply and will expi by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be t ninimum of thirty (30) da re SIX (6) MONTHS froi n to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Period for Reply		VDIDE AMONTI	(O) FDOM
The MAILING DATE of this communicate	0.1.0.1.1.	er sheet with the	
Cince Alexander Cummary	Examiner Shawn S An		Art Unit
Office Action Summary	09/736,661		RODRIGUEZ ET AL.

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Restriction/Election

1. Applicants' response to election/restriction as filed on 5/6/04 by canceling the non-allowable claims are deemed proper by the Examiner.

Therefore, as stated by the Applicants' representative, since all the non-allowable claims are canceled, the election requirement is rendered moot.

The requirement is now officially deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. As per Applicant's instructions in Paper 21 as filed on 5/6/04, claims 1-36 and 40-49 have been canceled, claims 37-39 have been amended, and claims 50-52 have been newly added.

Response to Remarks

3. Applicant's remarks with respect to previously amended claims 37-39 and newly added claims 50-52 as quoted as being allowable claims have been carefully considered and acknowledged, but, are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection based on the Examiner's last updated search normally practiced before an official allowance.

Note: Based on a telephone conversation between the undersigned attorney and the Examiner previously, the Examiner apologizes to the Applicants for not informing the last updated search.

Note: the Examiner at least made a courtesy call to the undersigned attorney, and briefly discussed the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject

Art Unit: 2613

matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 37-39 and 50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyce et al (5,614,952) in view of Kalra et al (5,953,506).

Regarding claims 37 and 50, Boyce et al discloses a video decoding system and a method for adapting to resource constraints, comprising:

retrieving a first set of video data from a memory component (116), wherein the first set of video data corresponds to a first video picture;

scaling the first set of video data into a second set of video data corresponding to a second video picture that is smaller than the first video picture (126);

transmitting the second set of video data to a display device (Fig. 1, To Display), wherein the second set of video data is not stored in the memory component prior to being transmitted (but, stored in 114); and

transmitting graphics data (Fig. 4, 401) to the display device (To Display), wherein the graphics data is displayed contemporaneously with the second set of video data (402, 403).

Even though Boyce et al discloses the use of a plurality of data reduction technique, Boyce et al does not specifically disclose determining whether a resource constrained mode is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that the resource constrained mode is to be initiated, initiating the resource constraint mode.

However, Kalra et al teaches a scalable media delivery system, comprising determining whether a resource constrained mode is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that the resource constrained mode is to be initiated, initiating the resource constraint mode (col. 17, lines 25-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing Boyce et al's decoders to incorporate the concepts as

Application/Control Number: 09/736,661

Art Unit: 2613

above as taught by Kalra et al, thereby effectively scaling streamed video data for a display on television.

Regarding claims 38 and 51, Boyce et al discloses the memory component soting compressed video data (116) and the decompressed video data (118)..

Regarding claims 39 and 52, Boyce et al discloses the memory component being coupled to a video decoder (Fig. 1).

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn S An whose telephone number is 703-305-0099. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex hours (10).
- 7. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SSA

Primary Patent Examiner

7/11/04